

An integral Riemann-Roch theorem for surface bundles

Ib Madsen

January 27, 2009

1 Introduction

This paper is a response to a conjecture by T. Akita about an integral Riemann-Roch theorem for surface bundles, [4], [5].

Let $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ be an oriented surface bundle with closed fibers of genus g , and equipped with a fiberwise metric. Let $\mathcal{H}(E)$ be the associated Hodge bundle with fibers $H^1(E_b; \mathbb{R})$. It becomes a g -dimensional complex vector bundle with the complex structure induced from the Hodge star operator, so is classified by a map from B to $BU(g)$. The element

$$n! \operatorname{ch}_n \in H^{2n}(BU(g); \mathbb{Z})$$

defines a characteristic class of the Hodge bundle and we set

$$s_n(E) := n! \operatorname{ch}_n(\mathcal{H}(E)) \in H^{2n}(B; \mathbb{Z}). \quad (1.1)$$

They are torsion classes for even n by [12], but not for odd n where we shall compare them with the standard Miller-Morita-Mumford classes

$$\kappa_n(E) := \pi_*(c_1(T^\pi E)^{n+1}) \in H^{2n}(B; \mathbb{Z}). \quad (1.2)$$

The Grothendieck Riemann-Roch theorem, or the family index theorem of Atiyah and Singer, yields the relation

$$s_{2n-1}(E) = (-1)^{n-1} (B_n/2n) \kappa_{2n-1}(E) \quad \text{in } H^*(B; \mathbb{Q}), \quad (1.3)$$

cf. [28], [29]. The Bernoulli numbers are defined by the power series

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} + \frac{z}{2} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} B_n / (2n)! z^{2n}.$$

Clearing denominators in (1.3), T. Akita made the following conjecture in [4]:

Conjecture (Akita). *In $H^*(B; \mathbb{Z})$,*

$$\operatorname{Denom}(B/2n) s_{2n-1}(E) = (-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{Num}(B_n/2n) \kappa_{2n-1}(E).$$

The conjecture was verified in [5] for some special values of n , but it turns out to be incorrect in general. A counterexample is given in sect. 7 below (for $n = p$, an odd prime), but there are many other counterexamples and the conjecture is incorrect even in $H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$.

On the positive side however, one can replace the standard classes $\kappa_n(E)$ by another set of integral characteristic classes which I shall denote $\bar{\kappa}_n(E)$. In rational cohomology they differ from $\kappa_n(E)$ only by a sign:

$$\bar{\kappa}_n(E) = (-1)^n \kappa_n(E) \quad \text{in } H^*(B; \mathbb{Q}), \quad (1.4)$$

and moreover, Akita's conjecture becomes correct when we substitute the κ_n classes with $\bar{\kappa}_n$. More precisely we shall prove

Theorem A. (i) In $H^{4n-2}(B; \mathbb{Z})$,

$$2 \operatorname{Denom}(B_n/2n) s_{2n-1}(E) = 2(-1)^n \operatorname{Num}(B_n/2n) \bar{\kappa}_{2n-1}(E).$$

(ii) In cohomology with p -local coefficients, the difference $\kappa_n(E) - (-1)^n \bar{\kappa}_n(E)$ is a torsion class of order p .

Remark 1. The extra factor 2 is unfortunate, and could possibly be removed with a more detailed consideration, cf. [17].

The classes $\bar{\kappa}_n(E)$ are not as simple to define as the standard classes $\kappa_n(E)$. I owe the following description to Johannes Ebert, [14]. Given an oriented surface bundle (with compact fiber), and equipped with a fiberwise Riemannian metric, one has the fiberwise $\bar{\partial}$ -operator

$$\bar{\partial}: C^\infty(E; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(E; T_\pi^{0,1} E).$$

The target consists of sections in the conjugate dual of the fiberwise tangent bundle, i.e.

$$T_\pi E^{0,1} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{T^\pi E}, \mathbb{C}).$$

The index bundle of $\bar{\partial}$ is $\mathbb{C} - \mathcal{H}(E)$. More generally, one may twist $\bar{\partial}$ with a complex vector bundle W on E to get

$$\bar{\partial}_W: C^\infty(E; W) \rightarrow C^\infty(E; T_\pi^{0,1} E \otimes W).$$

The classes $\bar{\kappa}_n(E)$ are then given by

$$\bar{\kappa}_n(E) = n! \operatorname{ch}_n(\operatorname{index} \bar{\partial}_W), \tag{1.5}$$

where $W = \overline{T^\pi E} - \mathbb{C}$ and $\operatorname{index}(\bar{\partial}_W)$ is the (analytic) index bundle over B . (Its class in $K(B)$ is independent of choice of connection on W when W is not holomorphic.) The index theorem gives a different description of $\operatorname{index}(\bar{\partial}_W)$, better suited for our purpose.

Surface bundles with connected fibers of genus g are classified by $B\operatorname{Diff}(F_g) \simeq B\Gamma_g$, where Γ_g is the mapping class group. The proof of Theorem A depends on the homological description of the stable mapping class group in terms of the cobordism space $\Omega^\infty MT(2) = \Omega^\infty \mathbb{C}P_\infty^1$ from [25]. In particular the Madsen-Weiss theorem is needed for the counterexample to Akita's conjecture.

An oriented surface bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ induces a “classifying map”

$$\alpha_E: B \rightarrow \Omega^\infty MT(2)$$

and the classes $\kappa_n(E)$, $\bar{\kappa}_n(E)$ and $s_n(E)$ are pull-backs of universal classes κ_n , $\bar{\kappa}_n$ and s_n in the integral cohomology of $\Omega^\infty MT(2)$. The analysis of the relationship between these universal classes uses infinite loop space theory and the theory of homology operations associated with this theory.

The paper is divided up into the following sections:

1. Introduction
2. Embedded surface bundles
3. The fiberwise $\bar{\partial}$ -operator and its index
4. The main diagram
5. The key lemma
6. Proof of Theorem A
7. Discussion of Akita's conjecture
8. Appendix on p -power operations

2 Embedded surface bundles

By Whitney's embedding theorem, a smooth manifold bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ with closed fibers admits a fiberwise embedding

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xhookrightarrow{i} & B \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ & B & \end{array}$$

for some N , and the embedding is unique up to fiberwise isotopy if N is sufficiently large.

There are obvious advantages of considering embedded fiber bundles: pull-backs become functional, and the fiberwise (or vertical) tangent bundle $T^\pi E$ inherits an inner product from the standard metric on euclidian space. Assuming further that $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is an *oriented* surface bundle, in the sense that $T^\pi E$ is oriented, one gets a complex structure $J: T^\pi E \rightarrow T^\pi E$ by rotating vectors by $+\frac{\pi}{2}$.

The fiberwise normal bundle of the embedding,

$$N^\pi E = \{(z, v) \in E \times \mathbb{R}^N \mid v \perp T^\pi E_z\},$$

maps to $B \times \mathbb{R}^N$ by sending (z, v) to $i(z) + (\pi(z), v)$. By the tubular neighborhood theorem, we may assume that this map restricts to an embedding of the unit disk bundle $D(N^\pi E)$, cf. [17, §3.2].

Given an oriented embedded surface bundle, one gets a diagram of vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N^\pi E & \xrightarrow{\hat{t}} & U_{2, N-2}^\perp \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{t} & G(2, N-2), \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

where $G(2, N-2)$ is the Grassmann manifold of oriented 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2, N-2}^\perp &= \{(V, v) \mid V \in G(2, N-2), \ v \perp V\}, \\ t(z) &= TE_{\pi(z)}, \\ \hat{t}(z, v) &= (TE_{\pi(z)}, v). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $N = 2n + 2$, we have the embedding of complex projective n -space $\mathbb{CP}^n$ into $G(2, 2n)$ by a $(2n-1)$ -connected map, and a pull-back diagram of vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n^\perp & \longrightarrow & U_{2, 2n}^\perp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{CP}^n & \longrightarrow & G(2, 2n). \end{array}$$

For n large enough, $t: E \rightarrow G(2, 2n)$ is homotopic to a map that factors over $\mathbb{CP}^n$, and (2.1) can be replaced by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N^\pi E & \xrightarrow{\hat{t}} & L_n^\perp \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathbb{CP}^n. \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

One consequence of (2.2) is that we may assume that $N^\pi E$ is a complex vector bundle. Alternatively a complex structure can be seen as follows: if $E \subset B \times \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ is a fiberwise embedding with vertical normal bundle $N^\pi E$, then $T^\pi E \subset B \times T\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ has complex normal bundle $q^*(N^\pi E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$, where q is the

bundle projection of $T^\pi E$, [7], [9], and $E \subset T^\pi E \subset B \times T\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ has normal bundle $T^\pi E \oplus (N^\pi E) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$; this is a complex vector bundle.

For the rest of the paper, a surface bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ will mean an embedded oriented surface bundle $E \subset B \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ with compact fiber and complex vertical normal bundle, such that the standard map $N^\pi E \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ restricts to an embedding of the unit disc bundles $D(N^\pi E)$. The integer n is not part of the structure: $E \subset B \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ is identified with $E \subset B \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+4}$.

The diffeomorphism classes of such surface bundles are in bijective correspondence with the set of homotopy classes $[B, B\text{Diff}(F)]$, where F is the fiber surface and $\text{Diff}(F)$ denotes the topological group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms, cf. §2.1 of [18].

For such a surface bundle, we can apply the Pontryagin-Thom construction on the embedding

$$D(N^\pi E) \hookrightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+2},$$

giving the map

$$c_E: B_+ \wedge S^{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{Th}(N^\pi E) := D(N^\pi E)/\partial. \quad (2.3)$$

There is an induced push-forward map π_* in K -theory defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(E) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \tilde{K}(\text{Th}(N^\pi E)) \\ \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow (c_E)^* \\ K(B) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\Phi} & \tilde{K}(B_+ \wedge S^{2n+2}), \end{array}$$

where Φ denotes the K -theory Thom isomorphism. It depends on the choice of Thom class; we use the class from [6, §2.7].

Let $\text{ch}_n: K(B) \rightarrow H^{2n}(B; \mathbb{Q})$ be the n 'th component of the Chern character, and

$$s_n = n! \text{ch}_n: K(B) \rightarrow H^{2n}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

the accompanying map into integral cohomology. The classes that appear in Theorem A have the following alternative description

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(E) &= (-1)^n s_n(\pi_*(1)), \\ \bar{\kappa}_n(E) &= s_n(\pi_*([\overline{T^\pi E}] - 1)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\overline{T^\pi E}$ is conjugate to $(T^\pi E, J)$ and isomorphic to the vertical complex cotangent bundle $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T^\pi E, \mathbb{C})$.

The relationship between (2.4) and the description given in the introduction is the index theorem [9]. This is discussed in the next section.

3 The fiberwise $\bar{\partial}$ -operator and its index

This short section explains the family index theorem for the fiberwise $\bar{\partial}$ -operator. This is well-known for the experts, but I will include some details for the more inexperienced reader.

Let V be a vector space with inner product and isometric complex structure $J: V \rightarrow V$, $J^2 = -\text{id}$. The involution $\phi \mapsto i\phi J^{-1}$ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ decomposes into ± 1 eigenspaces

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{C})$$

where on the right hand side $V = (V, J)$ and $\overline{V} = (V, J^{-1})$. The subspace $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{R})$ sits diagonally in the direct sum, and the projections define isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C}), \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{C}). \quad (3.1)$$

The two isomorphisms are given by $\phi \mapsto 1/2(\phi + i\phi J^{-1})$ and $\phi \mapsto 1/2(\phi - i\phi J^{-1})$, respectively. The inner product $\langle , \rangle$ on V identifies V with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{R})$ and the combined isomorphism

$$V \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{C}), \quad (3.2)$$

which sends $w \in V$ to $1/2(\phi_w - i\phi_w J^{-1})$ with $\phi_w(v) = \langle v, w \rangle$, is a complex isomorphism.

Applied fiberwise to the vertical tangent bundle $T^\pi E$ of an embedded surface bundle, define

$$T_\pi^{1,0} E := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T^\pi E, \mathbb{C}), \quad T_\pi^{0,1} E := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{T^\pi E}, \mathbb{C})$$

so that

$$C^\infty(E, T^\pi E) = C^\infty(E, T_\pi^{1,0} E) \oplus C^\infty(E, T_\pi^{0,1} E).$$

The fiberwise exterior differential from $C^\infty(E, \mathbb{C})$ into $C^\infty(E, T^\pi E)$ decomposes accordingly. The second component is

$$\bar{\partial}: C^\infty(E, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(E, T_\pi^{0,1} E),$$

which on a fiber F of $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is given locally by $\bar{\partial}\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\bar{z}}d\bar{z}$ with respect to a complex coordinate on F compatible with J .

More generally, if W is a complex vector bundle on E then (possibly after choice of connection) one has the associated operator

$$\bar{\partial}_W: C^\infty(E, W) \rightarrow C^\infty(E, T_\pi^{0,1} E \otimes W).$$

The symbol $\sigma(\bar{\partial}_W)$ is identified as

$$\sigma(\bar{\partial}_W) = \Phi([W]) = \lambda_{T^\pi E} \cdot [W] \in \tilde{K}(\text{Th}(T^\pi E)), \quad (3.3)$$

cf. [8, §4]. This class is independent of choice of connection. The (virtual) index bundle is

$$\text{index}(\bar{\partial}_W) = \ker(\bar{\partial}_W) - \text{cok}(\bar{\partial}_W).$$

It defines a class in $K(B)$, and the index theorem of [9] asserts that

$$[\text{index}(\bar{\partial}_W)] = \pi_!(\sigma(\bar{\partial}_W)) \in K(B). \quad (3.4)$$

The push-forward $\pi_!: \tilde{K}(\text{Th}(T^\pi E)) \rightarrow K(B)$ of [9] is related to our $\pi_*: K(E) \rightarrow K(B)$ via the formula

$$\pi_*([W]) = \pi_!(\Phi([W])). \quad (3.5)$$

Hence (3.4) has the alternative formulation:

$$[\text{index} \bar{\partial}_W] = \pi_*([W]), \quad (3.6)$$

and the classes of (2.4) are given by

$$s_n(\pi_*[W]) = s_n(\text{index} \bar{\partial}_W), \quad (3.7)$$

for $[W] = 1$ and $[W] = [\overline{T^\pi E}] - 1$, respectively. We have left to identify $\text{index}(\bar{\partial})$ in terms of the Hodge bundle. The result is:

Lemma 3.8. (i) $\ker \bar{\partial} = B \times \mathbb{C}$,

(ii) $\text{cok} \bar{\partial} = \mathcal{H}(E)$, the Hodge bundle, and $\pi_*(1) = 1 - [\mathcal{H}(E)]$.

Proof. On a fiber F of $\pi: E \rightarrow B$, the kernel of $\bar{\partial}|_F$ consists of the holomorphic maps from F to $\mathbb{C}$ which, since F is a closed Riemannian surface, are the constant maps. This proves (i). The cokernel of $\bar{\partial}|_F$ is

$$\text{cok } \bar{\partial}|_F = H^1(F; \mathcal{O}),$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic maps, see e.g. [15, Thm. 15.14]. On the other hand, by Serre duality

$$H^1(F; \mathcal{O}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega(F), \mathbb{C})$$

where $\Omega(F)$ is the vector space of holomorphic 1-forms, [15, Thm. 17.9].

We used the Hodge $*$ -operator to give the space $H^1(F; \mathbb{R})$, of harmonic 1-forms, a complex structure, isometric w.r.t. the inner product given by Poincaré duality. The isomorphism

$$H^1(F; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega(F),$$

given locally by sending the harmonic 1-form $\phi dx + \psi dy$ to $(\phi - i\psi)dz$, is conjugate linear. This yields the complex linear isomorphism

$$(H^1(F; \mathbb{R}), *) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega(F), \mathbb{C}).$$

Since the Hodge bundle is

$$\text{Princ}(E) \times_{\text{Diff}(F)} (H^1(F; \mathbb{R}), *)$$

this proves (ii). □

4 The main diagram

Let $E \subset B \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ be an embedded, oriented surface bundle as in §1, classified by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N^\pi E & \xrightarrow{\hat{t}} & L_n^\perp \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathbb{CP}^n, \end{array}$$

and inducing the map

$$\hat{t}: \text{Th}(N^\pi E) \rightarrow \text{Th}(L_n^\perp).$$

Let

$$\omega: \text{Th}(L_n^\perp) \rightarrow \text{Th}(L_n \oplus L_n^\perp) = \mathbb{CP}_+^n \wedge S^{2n+2} \quad (4.1)$$

be the inclusion along the zero section of L_n . The elements $\pi_*(1)$ and $\pi_*(\overline{T^\pi E} - 1)$ of $K(B)$ are explicitly given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(1) &= \Phi_B^{-1} c_E^* \hat{t}^* (\lambda_{L_n^\perp}), \\ \pi_*(\overline{T^\pi E} - 1) &= \Phi_B^{-1} c_E^* \hat{t}^* \omega^* \Phi_{\mathbb{CP}^n}(\bar{L}_n), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\Phi_X: K(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(X_+ \wedge S^{2n+2})$ is the Thom isomorphism for the trivial bundle on X , and $c_E: B_+ \wedge S^{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{Th}(N^\pi E)$ is the collapse map of (2.3). For the second formula in (4.2), note that $\omega^*(\lambda_{L_n^\perp}) = (1 - L)\lambda_L^\perp$.

We now describe the key relation between the elements $\lambda_{L_n^\perp}$ and $\omega^* \Phi_{\mathbb{CP}^n}(\bar{L}_n)$ which eventually leads to the proof of Theorem A.

Given an n -dimensional complex vector bundle W on X ,

$$\varrho^k(W) \in K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{k}]$$

is the K -theory characteristic class defined by

$$\psi^k(\lambda_W) = k^n \varrho^k(W) \lambda_W,$$

where ψ^k is the k 'th Adams operation. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^k(V \oplus W) &= \varrho^k(V) \varrho^k(W), \\ \varrho^k(L) &= \frac{1}{k}(1 + L + \cdots + L^{k-1}), \quad L \text{ a line bundle.} \end{aligned}$$

Define the operation r^k by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(X) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\Phi} & \tilde{K}(X_+ \wedge S^2) \\ \downarrow r^k & & \downarrow \varrho^k \\ K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{k}] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{1+\Phi} & 1 + \tilde{K}(X_+ \wedge S^2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{k}]. \end{array} \quad (4.3)$$

It is additive,

$$r^k(V \oplus W) = r^k(V) + r^k(W),$$

and for a line bundle L it is given by the formula (with $\bar{L}$ the conjugate line bundle)

$$r^k(\bar{L}) = \frac{L^{k-2} + 2L^{k-3} + \cdots + (k-2)L + (k-1)}{1 + L + \cdots + L^{k-1}}. \quad (4.4)$$

This follows easily upon using that Φ in (4.2) is (exterior) multiplication by $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = L_1 - 1$ in $\tilde{K}(S^2)$, together with the equation

$$(L-1)(L^{k-2} + 2L^{k-3} + \cdots + (k-1)) = (1 + L + \cdots + L^{k-1}) - k,$$

cf. [23, Prop. 6.2]. Since $\omega^*(\lambda_{L_n \oplus L_n^\perp}) = (1 - L_n)\lambda_{L_n^\perp}$, we get

$$(k^{-n}\psi^k - \text{id})(\lambda_{L_n^\perp}) = \omega^*(r^k(\bar{L}_n)\lambda_{L_n \oplus L_n^\perp}). \quad (4.5)$$

This relation can also be expressed as the homotopy commutative diagram below, where $(\mathbb{Z} \times BU)[\frac{1}{k}]$ is the classifying space of $K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{k}]$, i.e.

$$K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{k}] = [X, (\mathbb{Z} \times BU)[\frac{1}{k}]]$$

for compact X . The diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Th}(L_n^\perp) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \mathbb{CP}_+^n \wedge S^{2n+2} & \xrightarrow{\bar{L}_n \wedge S^{2n+2}} & (\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \wedge S^{2n+2} \\ \downarrow \lambda_{L_n^\perp} & & & & \downarrow r^k \wedge S^{2n+2} \\ \mathbb{Z} \times BU & \xrightarrow{k^{-n}\psi^k - \text{id}} & (\mathbb{Z} \times BU)[\frac{1}{k}] & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & (\mathbb{Z} \times BU)[\frac{1}{k}] \wedge S^{2n+2}, \end{array} \quad (4.6)$$

with $\varepsilon: (\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \wedge S^{2n+2} \rightarrow BU$ induced from multiplication with $\lambda_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} \in \tilde{K}(S^{2n+2})$.

In order to make the above diagram independent of the embedding dimension, we pass to spectra and their associated infinite loop spaces, cf. [3], [2].

We remember that for a (pre) spectrum $A = \{A_n, \varepsilon_n\}$ the associated infinite loop space is

$$\Omega^\infty A = \operatorname{colim} \Omega^n A_n$$

where the maps $\Omega^n A_n \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} A_{n+1}$ comes from the adjoint $\varepsilon'_n: A_n \rightarrow \Omega A_{n+1}$. If A is an $(\Omega-)$ spectrum, i.e. if $\varepsilon'_n: A_n \rightarrow \Omega A_{n+1}$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $\Omega^\infty A \simeq A_0$. The spectra relevant to us are $\Sigma^\infty Y$, KU and $MT(2)$. They have $(2n+2)$ 'nd spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma^\infty Y)_{2n+2} &= Y \wedge S^{2n+2}, \\ KU_{2n+2} &= \mathbb{Z} \times BU, \\ MT(2)_{2n+2} &= \operatorname{Th}(L_n^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\Omega^{2n+2}(-)$ to (4.6) and taking colimit over n leads to the following homotopy commutative diagram of infinite loop spaces, where we use the standard notations: $Q(Y) = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty Y$ and $X_+ = X \sqcup \{+\}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega^\infty MT(2) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) & \xrightarrow{Q(\bar{L})} & Q(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \\ \downarrow \lambda_{-L} & & & & \downarrow Q(r^k) \\ \mathbb{Z} \times BU & \xrightarrow{k\psi^k - \operatorname{id}} & \mathbb{Z} \times BU[\frac{1}{k}] & \xleftarrow{E} & Q((\mathbb{Z} \times BU)[\frac{1}{k}]) \end{array} \quad (4.7)$$

The map $E: Q(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times BU$, and its localised version with k inverted, is a consequence of Bott periodicity $\Omega^{2n}(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times BU$. More generally, for any Ω -spectrum $A = \{A_n, \varepsilon_n\}$, the maps

$$\Omega^n(A_0 \wedge S^n) \rightarrow \Omega^n A_n \xleftarrow{\simeq} A_0$$

determines a (weak) homotopy class $E: Q(A_0) \rightarrow A_0$.

The composition

$$\hat{t} \circ c_E: B_+ \wedge S^{2n+2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Th}(N^\pi E) \rightarrow \operatorname{Th}(L_n^\perp)$$

of an embedded surface bundle is the $(2n+2)$ 'nd component of a map of spectra

$$c_E: \Sigma^\infty(B_+) \rightarrow MT(2).$$

Its adjoint is

$$\alpha_E: B \xrightarrow{i_B} Q(B_+) \xrightarrow{\Omega^\infty c_E} \Omega^\infty MT(2), \quad (4.8)$$

where i_B is the inclusion.

With these notations, the two elements of (4.2) are represented by the homotopy classes

$$\begin{aligned} B &\xrightarrow{\alpha_E} \Omega^\infty MT(2) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{-L}} \mathbb{Z} \times BU, \\ B &\xrightarrow{\alpha_E} \Omega^\infty MT(2) \xrightarrow{\omega} Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) \xrightarrow{Q(\bar{L})} Q(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \xrightarrow{E} \mathbb{Z} \times BU. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Theorem A is proved by evaluating (4.7) on cohomology, but to accomplish this one needs to examine the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) & \xrightarrow{E} & \mathbb{Z} \times BU \\ \downarrow Q(r^k) & & \downarrow r^k \\ Q((\mathbb{Z} \times BU)[\frac{1}{k}]) & \xrightarrow{E} & (\mathbb{Z} \times BU)[\frac{1}{k}] \end{array} \quad (4.10)$$

on the cohomological level. Unfortunately, (4.10) is *not* a homotopy commutative diagram: r^k is only twice deloopable but not an infinite loop map.

We may restrict (4.10) to the zero component $BU = \{0\} \times BU \subset \mathbb{Z} \times BU$, and localise at a prime p with $(k, p) = 1$.

Key Lemma 4.11. *For $(k, p) = 1$, the cohomological diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Prim} H^*(Q(BU_{(p)}); \mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{E^*} & \mathrm{Prim} H^*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow Q(r^k)^* & & \uparrow (r^k)^* \\ \mathrm{Prim} H^*(Q(BU_{(p)}); \mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{E^*} & \mathrm{Prim} H^*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

is homotopy commutative.

Here $\mathrm{Prim}(\)$ denotes the primitive elements in the Hopf algebras, e.g.

$$\mathrm{Prim} H^{2n}(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \langle s_n \rangle, \quad s_n = n! \mathrm{ch}_n,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ are the fractions with denominator prime to p . The lemma is proved in the next section.

5 The key lemma

This section deals with the non-commutativity of diagram (4.10). Our method is to use the Artin-Hasse logarithm of [33]. To this end we single out a prime p and only consider ϱ^k and r^k for $(k, p) = 1$. Let us write $K_{(p)}(X) = K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. We have the group homomorphism

$$\varrho^k : \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X) \rightarrow 1 + \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X)$$

where the group structure on the target is tensor product of virtual vector bundles of dimension 1.

The representing space for $\tilde{K}(X)$ is $BU^\oplus = \{0\} \times BU$. This is the infinite loop space associated to the connected K -theory spectrum $bu^\oplus$ of [3]. The representing space for $1 + \tilde{K}(X)$ is $BU^\otimes = \{1\} \times BU$. This is the infinite loop space of a spectrum $bu^\otimes$, constructed from the abstract theory of infinite loop spaces [11], [26], [31]. The map

$$\varrho^k : BY_{(p)}^\oplus \rightarrow BU_{(p)}^\otimes$$

is an infinite loop map by [24], i.e. it lifts to a map of spectra $bu_{(p)}^\oplus \rightarrow bu_{(p)}^\otimes$.

The Artin-Hasse logarithm

$$L_{(p)} : 1 + \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X)$$

is for compact X defined by the formula

$$L_{(p)}(1 - x) = - \sum_{(n, p)=1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \theta^{p^t}(x^n),$$

where $\theta^{p^t} : \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X)$ is the operation

$$\theta^{p^t}(x) = \frac{1}{p^t} (x^{p^t} - \psi^p(x^{p^{t-1}})), \quad t > 0$$

and $\theta^1(x) = x$. It exists in $\tilde{K}_{(p)}(X)$ because ψ^p is multiplicative and $\psi^p(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$, and it is uniquely defined since $\tilde{K}(BU)$ is torsion free. Note that rationally,

$$L_{(p)}(1 - x) = \left(\frac{\psi^p}{p} - 1 \right) \log(1 - x) \in \tilde{K}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

It is the double loop of $L_{(p)}$ we are interested in, i.e. in the map $l_{(p)}$ defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 + \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X \wedge S^2) & \xrightarrow{L_{(p)}} & \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X \wedge S^2) \\ \cong \uparrow 1 + \Phi & & \cong \uparrow \Phi \\ \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X) & \xrightarrow{l_{(p)}} & \tilde{K}_{(p)}(X) . \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.1. *The map $l_{(p)}$ is given by the formula*

$$l_{(p)}(x) = x + \psi^p(x).$$

Proof. All products vanish in reduced K -theory of a suspension, so

$$\begin{aligned} -L_{(p)}(1 - x\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}) &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \psi^{p^t}(x\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}) = x\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} + \frac{1}{p}\psi^p(x\lambda_{\mathbb{C}}) \\ &= x\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} + \psi^p(x)\varrho^p(1)\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \\ &= (x + \psi^p(x))\lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \end{aligned}$$

Strictly speaking, this calculation only makes sense when $K_{(p)}(X)$ is torsion free. But this is the case when $X = BU$. In general the formula follows by naturality from this case. $\square$

Based on the criteria from [24], tom Dieck showed in [33] that

$$L_{(p)}: BSU_{(p)}^{\otimes} \rightarrow BSU_{(p)}^{\oplus}$$

is an infinite loop map. The composite $L_{(p)} \circ \varrho^k$ from $BSU_{(p)}^{\oplus}$ to itself is then also infinitely deloopable. Taking double loops implies that

$$BU_{(p)}^{\oplus} \xrightarrow{r^k} BU_{(p)} \xrightarrow{l_{(p)}} BU_{(p)}^{\oplus}$$

is an infinite loop map, and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q(BU_{(p)}) & \xrightarrow{Q(r^k)} & Q(BU_{(p)}) & \xrightarrow{Q(l_{(p)})} & Q(BU_{(p)}) \\ \downarrow E & & & & \downarrow E \\ BU_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{r^k} & BU_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{l_{(p)}} & BU_{(p)} \end{array} \quad (5.2)$$

is consequently homotopy commutative. Lemma 5.1 shows that $l_{(p)}$ induces isomorphism on homotopy groups, so is a homotopy equivalence.

Lemma 5.3. *The maps $l_{(p)}$ and $Q(l_{(p)})$ induce the identity on cohomology with $\mathbb{F}_p$ coefficients.*

Proof. It suffices to check that

$$l_{(p)*}: H_*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is the identity, since $H_*(Q(X); \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a functor of $H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$.

The Adams operation ψ^p induces multiplication by p^n on $H_{2n}(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z})$, so induces the zero map on $\tilde{H}_*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{F}_p)$. By Lemma 5.1, $l_{(p)} = \text{id}$. $\square$

We need an integral or p -local version of the previous lemma. This requires some infinite loop space theory, namely the Pontryagin p 'th power homology operations (Frobenius operations),

$$\mathcal{P}: H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^r) \rightarrow H_{pn}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^{r+1}).$$

They are defined when X is infinite loop and are natural with respect to maps in that category. The key property is that $\varrho_r \mathcal{P}(x) = x^p$, where ϱ_r denotes reduction to $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$ coefficients. The reader is referred to [23], [13] and the present appendix for properties of $\mathcal{P}$.

If X is a space with multiplication $m: X \times X \rightarrow X$, e.g. an infinite loop space, a cohomology class $\gamma \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is called *primitive* if

$$m^*(\gamma) = \mu(1 \otimes \gamma + \gamma \otimes 1)$$

where

$$\mu: H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \otimes H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow H^*(X \times X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

is the exterior product.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $X = Q(Y)$ where Y is a space of finite type, and let $\gamma \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ be a primitive cohomology class such that*

- (i) $\varrho_1(\gamma) = 0$ in $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$,
- (ii) $i^*(\gamma) = 0$, where i is the inclusion $i: Y \rightarrow Q(Y)$,
- (iii) $\langle \gamma, \mathcal{P}^{(r)}(c) \rangle = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p^{r+1}$ for all $c \in H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$.

Then $\gamma = 0$. □

The proof is deferred to the Appendix.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $l_{(p)}$ and $Q(l_{(p)})$ be the maps from (5.2), and $s_n = n! \text{ch}_n \in H^{2n}(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z})$. Then*

$$l_{(p)}^*(s_n) = (1 + p^n)s_n \quad \text{and} \quad Q(l_{(p)})^* E^*(s_n) = (1 + p^n)E^*(s_n).$$

Proof. The first equation follow because s_n is primitive and $\psi^p(s_n) = p^n s_n$. We have $Q(l_{(p)}) = \text{id} + Q(\psi^p)$, so it suffices to show that

$$\gamma = p^n E^*(s_n) - Q(\psi^p)^* E^*(s_n)$$

is the zero class in $H^{2n}(Q(BU_{(p)}); \mathbb{Z})$. We check the three conditions of Theorem 5.4. The first condition is satisfied because $i^* Q(l_{(p)}) = l_{(p)}$, the second condition by Lemma 5.3.

The third condition that γ vanishes on iterated powers of $\mathcal{P}$ is also satisfied. Indeed, both E and $Q(\psi^p)$ are infinite loop maps, so commute with $\mathcal{P}$. Moreover each $x \in H_*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is the reduction of an integral class $\hat{x}$, so $\mathcal{P}^{(r)}(x) = \varrho_{r+1}(\hat{x})^{p^r}$ which is annihilated by the primitive class s_n . □

The Key Lemma 4.11 is an obvious consequence of diagram (5.2) and Corollary 5.5.

6 Proof of Theorem A

Our first objective is to evaluate

$$(r^k)^*: \text{Prim } H^*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Prim } H^*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We begin with some notation from [1]. Let W be a complex vector bundle on X of dimension m . Define $bh(W) \in H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ by

$$\text{ch } \lambda_W = (-1)^m bh(W) U_W, \quad (6.1)$$

where $U_W \in H^{2m}(\text{Th}(W); \mathbb{Z})$ is the cohomological Thom class. If $m = 1$, $bh(W) = \frac{e^x - 1}{x}$, where x is the first Chern class of W .

Let ψ_H^k be the endomorphism of $H^{2*}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ that multiplies by k^n in degree $2n$, so that $\text{ch} \circ \psi^k = \psi_H^k \circ \text{ch}$. We need the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_H^k(bh(W)) &= \text{ch}(\varrho^k(W)) bh(W) \\ \log(bh(W)) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{ch}_1(W) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} (B_n/2n) \text{ch}_{2n}(W). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

The first one follows by applying the Chern character to the defining relation $\psi^k(\lambda_W) = k^m \varrho^k(W) \lambda_W$; the second relation is from [1, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 6.3. *The map*

$$(r^k)^*: \text{Prim } H^{4n-2}(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Prim } H^{4n-2}(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z})$$

is multiplication by $(-1)^{n-1}(k^{2n} - 1)B_n/2n$.

Proof. The Hurewicz homomorphism onto the module of indecomposable elements

$$\tilde{K}(S^{2m}) \rightarrow QH_{2m}(BU; \mathbb{Z})$$

is non-zero, so the paring

$$\tilde{K}(S^{2m}) \otimes \text{Prim } H^{2m}(BU; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is non-degenerate. Thus it suffices to evaluate $(r^k)_*$ on $\tilde{K}_{(p)}(S^{4n-2})$, or equivalently, $(\varrho^k)_*$ on $\tilde{K}_{(p)}(S^{4n})$. We use that

$$\text{ch}_n: \tilde{K}(S^{2n}) \rightarrow H^{2n}(S^{2n}; \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. This is clear for $n = 1$ and follows in general since ch preserves exterior multiplication.

Let $u \in \tilde{K}_{(p)}(S^{4n})$ and set $\varrho^k(u) = \lambda_{2n} \cdot u$. The first equation in (6.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_H^K(\log bh(u)) - \log bh(u) &= \log \text{ch}(\varrho^k(u)) \\ &= \log(1 + \lambda_{2n} \text{ch}_{2n}(u)) \end{aligned}$$

In degree $4n$, the left-hand side is $(k^{2n} - 1)(-1)^{n-1}(B_n/2n) \text{ch}_{2n}(u)$, so $\lambda_{2n} = (-1)^{n-1}B_n/2n$ as claimed. $\square$

The two maps displayed in (4.9) takes value in the $(k-1)(1-g)$ -component of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \times BU_{(p)}$ for surface bundles of fiber genus g . Theorem A is a consequence of diagram (4.7), composed with α_E , evaluated on $s_{2n-1} \in H^{4n-2}(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z})$.

We begin by comparing the cohomology classes obtained by evaluating the two maps

$$\begin{aligned} f: B &\xrightarrow{\omega \circ \alpha_E} Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) \xrightarrow{Q(\bar{L})} Q(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \xrightarrow{E} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \times BU_{(p)} \\ g: B &\xrightarrow{\omega \circ \alpha_E} Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) \xrightarrow{Q(\bar{L})} Q(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \xrightarrow{Q(r^k)} Q(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \times BU_{(p)}) \xrightarrow{E} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \times BU_{(p)} \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

on $s_{2n-1} \in H^*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z})$. By (4.2) the first map represents $\pi_*(\overline{T^\pi E} - 1)$, the second is one of the two maps in the homotopy commuting diagram (4.7).

The middle map $Q(\bar{L})$ is the sum of two:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\bar{L} - 1): Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) &\rightarrow Q(BU), \\ Q(1): Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) &\rightarrow Q(\mathbb{Z} \times BU), \end{aligned}$$

and $Q(1)$ factors over the projection of $Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty)$ on $Q(S^0)$. The composite

$$u: Q(S^0) \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{Z} \times BU) \xrightarrow{E} \mathbb{Z} \times BU$$

is the infinite loop map of the connective unit in the K -theory ring spectrum ku . Similarly $E \circ Q(r^k(1))$ is the projection onto $Q(S^0)$ composed with $u_k = \frac{k-1}{2}u$.

Lemma 6.5. *For odd primes p , $u^*(s_{2n-1}) = 0$ in $H^*(Q(S^0); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. For $p = 2$, $2u^*(s_{2n-1}) = 0$ in $H^*(Q(S^0); \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$ and $\omega^*u^*(s_{2n-1}) = 0$ in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MT(2); \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$.*

The proof is deferred to the end of the section. The lemma implies that in (6.4) we may replace $Q(\bar{L})$ with $Q(\bar{L} - 1): Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) \rightarrow Q(BU)$ without affecting the evaluation on s_{2n-1} . With this replacement, Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 6.3 combine to give

$$g^*(s_{2n-1}) = (-1)^{n-1}(k^{2n} - 1)B_n/2n \cdot f^*(s_{2n-1}).$$

The other map in (4.7) is

$$h: B \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} \Omega^\infty MT(2) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{-L}} \mathbb{Z} \times BU \xrightarrow{k\psi^k - \text{id}} \mathbb{Z} \times BU,$$

where, by (4.9), $\lambda_{-L} \circ \alpha_E$ represents $\pi_*(1)$. Since $(k\psi^k - \text{id})^*$ multiplies s_{2n-1} by $(k^{2n} - 1)$,

$$h^*(s_{2n-1}) = (k^{2n} - 1)s_{2n-1}(\pi_*(1)),$$

and by (4.7) $h^*(s_{2n-1}) = g^*(s_{2n-1})$. This gives the equation

$$(k^{2n} - 1)s_{2n-1}(\pi_*(1)) = (-1)^{n-1}(k^{2n} - 1)B_n/2n \cdot s_{2n-1}(\pi_*(\overline{T^\pi E} - 1)) \quad (6.6)$$

in $H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$.

Proof of Theorem A. For p odd, pick $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ to represent a generator of the multiplicative group of units $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2)^*$. Then

$$(k^{2n} - 1) = \text{Denom}(B_n/2n) \cdot \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^*$$

and (6.6) gives

$$\text{Denom}(B_n/2n)s_{2n-1}(\pi_*(1)) = (-1)^{n-1} \text{Num}(B_n/2n)s_{2n-1}(\pi_*(\overline{T^\pi E} - 1)).$$

If $p = 2$, pick $k = \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. Then

$$(k^{2n} - 1) = 2 \text{Denom}(B_n/2n)\lambda$$

for a 2-local unit λ and we get an extra factor of 2. Since

$$-s_{2n-1}(\pi_*(1)) = s_{2n-1}(\mathcal{H}(E)) =: s_{2n-1}(E)$$

by Lemma 3.8, and

$$\bar{\kappa}_n(E) = s_n(\pi_*(\overline{T^\pi E} - 1)) = s_n(\text{index } \bar{\partial}_{\overline{T^\pi E} - 1}).$$

This completes the proof. □

We close the section with

Proof of Lemma 6.5. Pick k to generate $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2)^*$ when p is odd, and $k = \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ for $p = 2$. Define spaces $J_{\mathbb{R}}(p)$ and $J_{\mathbb{C}}(p)$ to make

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathbb{R}}(p) &\xrightarrow{i_{\mathbb{R}}} BO_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\psi^k - \text{id}} B\text{Spin}_{(p)} \\ J_{\mathbb{C}}(p) &\xrightarrow{i_{\mathbb{C}}} BU_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\psi^k - \text{id}} BU_{(p)} \end{aligned}$$

homotopy fibrations. The space $Q(S^0)_{(p)}$ decomposes as a product

$$Q(S^0)_{(p)} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \times J_{\mathbb{R}}(p)) \times \text{cok } J_{\mathbb{R}}(p) \quad (6.7)$$

and $\tilde{K}(\text{cok } J_{\mathbb{R}}(p)) = 0$, see e.g. [24], [27]. Complexification induces a map $c: J_{\mathbb{R}}(p) \rightarrow J_{\mathbb{C}}(p)$, and there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q(S^0) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \times J_{\mathbb{R}}(p) \\ \downarrow u & & \downarrow c \\ \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \times BU_{(p)} & \xleftarrow{i_{\mathbb{C}}} & \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \times J_{\mathbb{C}}(p) \end{array} .$$

The endomorphism $(\psi^k - \text{id})^*$ of $H^*(BU_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z})$ multiplies the primitive generator s_{2n-1} by $(k^{2n-1} - 1)$. This is a unit of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ if p is odd, and twice a unit if $p = 2$. Thus $2i_{\mathbb{C}}^*(s_{2n-1}) = 0$ in all cases, and the diagram implies $2u^*(s_{2n-1}) = 0$.

For $p = 2$, we must further show that $\omega^*u^*(s_{2n-1}) = 0$. To this end, recall the fibration sequence

$$\Omega^\infty MT(2) \xrightarrow{\omega} Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega Q_0(S^0),$$

where ∂ is the S^1 -transfer, [30], [32]. On the component $Q(S^0)$ of $Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) = Q(\mathbb{CP}^\infty) \times Q(S^0)$, ∂ is the map $\eta: Q(S^0) \rightarrow \Omega Q_0(S^0)$ induced from the stable Hopf map $\eta: S^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n$. Applying the splitting (6.7), and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_{\mathbb{R}}(2) & \longrightarrow & BO_{(2)} \xrightarrow{c} BU_{(2)} \\ \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \eta \\ \Omega J_{\mathbb{R}}(2) & \longrightarrow & SO_{(2)} \end{array} ,$$

it suffices to check that the cohomology classes $c^*(s_{2n-1}) \in H^*(BO_{(2)}; \mathbb{Z})$ lie in the image of $\eta: H^*(SO_{(2)}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(BO_{(2)}; \mathbb{Z})$. We can use the homotopy fibration sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow BU \xrightarrow{r} BO \xrightarrow{\eta} SO \xrightarrow{c} SU \longrightarrow \dots$$

(which induces the Bott-sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \tilde{K}(X) \xrightarrow{r} \widetilde{KO}(X) \longrightarrow \widetilde{KO}^{-1}(X) \longrightarrow \dots \quad)$$

to examine this question.

The Serre spectral sequence of the homotopy fibration $BU \xrightarrow{r} BO \xrightarrow{\eta} SO$ has vanishing differentials, so that

$$H^*(BO, \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^*(BU, \mathbb{F}_2) \otimes H^*(SO; \mathbb{F}_2) \quad (6.8)$$

Indeed $H^*(SO; \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \dots]$, so that the E^2 -term has the same dimension in each degree as the abutment $H^*(BO, \mathbb{F}_2)$; this leaves no room for differentials.

In integral cohomology, both $H^*(BO; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$ and $H^*(SO; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$ contains only torsion of order 2, and the Bockstein exact sequence implies that the vertical maps below are injective,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(SO; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}) & \xrightarrow{\eta^*} & H^*(BO; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(SO; \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta^*} & H^*(BO; \mathbb{F}_2) \end{array} .$$

The generators $x_{2k-1} \in H^{2k-1}(SO; \mathbb{F}_2)$ are primitive and from (6.8) it follows that in odd dimensions

$$\eta^*: \text{Prim } H^*(SO; \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Prim } H^*(BO; \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is an isomorphism. Hence with $\mathbb{F}_2$ coefficients

$$\eta^*(x_{2n-1}^2) = c^*(s_{2n-1}) \text{ in } H^*(BO; \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Since x_{2n-1}^2 is the image of a class in $H^*(SO; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$, this completes the proof. $\square$

7 Discussion of Akita's conjecture

For a surface bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$, we have the standard MMM-classes

$$\kappa_n(E) = \pi_*(c_1(T^\pi E)^{n+1}) \in H^{2n}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

where

$$\pi_*: H^*(E; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*-2}(B; \mathbb{Z})$$

is the cohomological push forward homomorphism. This section discusses the relationship between these classes and the classes $\bar{\kappa}_n(E)$ that appear in Theorem A.

Given a spectrum $A = \{A_n, \varepsilon_n\}$ with associated infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty A$, one has the cohomology suspension map

$$\sigma^*: H^k(A; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(\Omega^\infty A; \mathbb{Z}).$$

The source is the spectrum cohomology,

$$H^k(A; \mathbb{Z}) = \varprojlim H^{k+n}(A_n).$$

and σ^* is induced from the evaluation $S^n \Omega^n A_n \rightarrow A_n$. Dually, the homology suspension is the homomorphism

$$\sigma_*: H_k(\Omega^\infty A; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(A; \mathbb{Z}).$$

In terms of the cohomology suspension, the standard classes have the following description

$$\kappa_n(E) = (\omega \circ \alpha_E)^* \sigma^*(e^n) \tag{7.1}$$

where

$$B \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} \Omega^\infty \xrightarrow{\omega} Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty)$$

and $e = c_1(L)$ is the Euler class of the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{CP}^\infty$, cf. [17, Thm. 3.1].

Fix an odd prime p . We shall compare $\kappa_n(E)$ and $\bar{\kappa}_n(E)$ first in $H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ and then in $H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. We remember from sect. 6 that

$$\bar{\kappa}_n(E) = (\omega \circ \alpha_E)^* f^*(s_n), \tag{7.2}$$

where $f: Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} Q(\mathbb{CP}^\infty) \xrightarrow{Q(\bar{L}-1)} Q(BU) \xrightarrow{E} BU$.

Lemma 7.3. In $H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$, $\kappa_n(E) = (-1)^n \bar{\kappa}_n(E)$.

Proof. There is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) & \xrightarrow{Q(\bar{L}-1)} & Q(BU) & \xrightarrow{E} & BU \\ \uparrow i & & \uparrow i & \nearrow & \\ \mathbb{CP}^\infty & \xrightarrow{\bar{L}-1} & BU & & \end{array}$$

with i being the inclusions. Thus

$$i^* Q(\bar{L}-1) E^*(s_n) = s_n(\bar{L}) = (-1)^n c_1(L)^n.$$

But $i^* \sigma^* = \text{id}$, so

$$i^* \sigma^*(e^n) = e^n = c_1(L)^n.$$

Since $i^*: H^*(Q(X); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism, the claim follows. $\square$

We shall next consider the classes κ_n and $\bar{\kappa}_n$ in mod p cohomology, p odd. This requires infinite loop space theory, or more precisely the theory of homology operations on the category of infinite loop spaces. We restrict ourselves to odd primes p , leaving the case of $p = 2$ for the reader to work out. These are homomorphisms

$$Q^i: H_k(\Omega^\infty A; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_{k+2i(p-1)}(\Omega^\infty A; \mathbb{F}_p),$$

natural with respect to infinite loop maps (i.e. maps induced from maps of spectra).

For any (pointed) space X , the mod p homology of $Q(X)$ is a functor of the mod p homology of X via iterated homology operations and Bocksteins,

$$Q^I = \beta^{\varepsilon_1} Q^{i_1} \beta^{\varepsilon_2} Q^{i_2} \dots \beta^{\varepsilon_k} Q^{i_k} \quad (\varepsilon_j = 0 \text{ or } 1, i_j \in \mathbb{N}),$$

applied to the classes of $H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \subset H_*(Q(X); \mathbb{F}_p)$, cf. [13, I].

Assuming X is connected, $H_*(Q(X); \mathbb{F}_p)$ is the free associative, graded commutative algebra on generators $Q^I(x)$ subject to the following conditions for $I = (\varepsilon_1, i_1, \varepsilon_2, i_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k, i_k)$:

$$i_{j-1} \leq p i_j - \varepsilon_j, \quad 2s_1 - \sum_{j=2}^k (2s_j(p-1) - \varepsilon_j) > \deg x, \quad (7.4)$$

where $x \in \tilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \subset \tilde{H}_*(Q(X); \mathbb{F}_p)$ runs through a homogeneous vector space basis. There is a similar statement for non-connected X , cf. [13, I, Thm. 4.2].

It is well-known that

$$\sigma_*: H_k(\Omega^\infty A; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_k(A; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

annihilates products, and indeed all classes of the form $Q^i(x)$. The second statement follows by iterating the homomorphism

$$\sigma_*: H_k(\Omega^\infty A; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(\Omega^{\infty-1} A; \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Indeed σ_* commutes with homology operations, and $Q^i(x) = 0$ for $2i < \deg(x)$. The consequence we shall use is the following.

Lemma 7.5. The classes $\sigma^*(e^n) \in H^{2n}(Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty); \mathbb{F}_p)$ vanishes on all elements $Q^I(a_n)$ where $a_n \in H_{2n}(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is the generator.

For infinite loop spaces $X = \Omega^\infty A$, the structure map $E: Q(X) \rightarrow X$ is an infinite loop map so that

$$E_*: H_*(Q(X); \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

commutes with homology operations; the element $Q^I(i_*(x))$ in $H_*(Q(X); \mathbb{F}_p)$ is mapped to $Q^I(x)$. This will be applied to $E: Q(BU) \rightarrow BU$ below.

Let $a_n \in H_{2n}(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; \mathbb{Z})$ be dual to e^n , $e = c_1(L)$, and denote also by a_n its image under

$$\bar{L} - 1: \mathbb{CP}^\infty \rightarrow BU.$$

Then $H_*(BU; \mathbb{Z})$ is a polynomial algebra on the classes a_n . Reducing to $\mathbb{F}_p$ coefficients, [20, Theorem 6] proves the formula:

$$Q^j(a_n) = (-1)^{j+n-1} \binom{j-1}{n} a_{n+j(p-1)} + \text{decomposables}, \quad (7.6)$$

where $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$ is the binomial coefficient reduced to $\mathbb{F}_p$.

We are now ready to compare the classes κ_n and $\bar{\kappa}_n$ in $H^{2n}(\Omega^\infty MT(2); \mathbb{Z})$. I will just do one concrete example, but using the results from [16] it is clear that $\kappa_n \neq \bar{\kappa}_n$ for many values of n .

Proposition 7.7. *Let p be an odd prime.*

- (i) *The classes $p\kappa_n$ and $(-1)^n p\bar{\kappa}_n$ agree in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MT(2); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$.*
- (ii) *$\kappa_{2p-1} \neq -\bar{\kappa}_{2p-1}$ in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MT(2); \mathbb{F}_p)$.*

Proof. The vanishing of $p(\kappa_n - (-1)^n \bar{\kappa}_n)$ follows from Theorem 5.4. To prove (ii), we use the homotopy fibration

$$\Omega^\infty MT(2) \xrightarrow{\omega} Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} \Omega Q(S^0),$$

localised at the prime p . The space $\Omega Q(S^0)_{(p)}$ is $(2p-5)$ -connected, and $a_1 \in H_2(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; \mathbb{F}_p) \subset H_2(Q(\mathbb{CP}_+^\infty); \mathbb{F}_p)$ is in the image of ω_* , $a_1 = \omega_*(\bar{a}_1)$. Then

$$\langle \bar{\kappa}_{2p-1}, Q^2(\bar{a}_1) \rangle = \langle s_{2p-1}, Q^2(a_1) \rangle$$

where the right-hand side takes place in (co)homology of BU . By (7.6),

$$\langle \bar{\kappa}_{2p-1}, Q^2(\bar{a}_1) \rangle = \langle s_{2p-1}, a_{2p-1} \rangle \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, $\langle \kappa_{2p-1}, Q^2(a_1) \rangle = 0$ since cohomology classes in the image of the suspension homomorphism annihilates homology operations. $\square$

Note that the proposition above give a counterexample to Akita's conjecture, stated in the introduction. This follows from [25] where it is proved that the map

$$\mathbb{Z} \times B\text{Diff}(F_g) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega^\infty MT(2)$$

is a homology isomorphism in degrees less than $(g-1)/2$. This implies that there are surface bundles (of fiber genus at least $8p-3$) where $\bar{\kappa}_{2p-1}(E) \neq -\kappa_{2p-1}(E)$.

8 Appendix on p -power operations

This section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 5.4. The properties enjoyed by $\mathcal{P}$ can be found in [22, sect. 1] and [13, sect. I.7], which the reader may consult for more details.

In this section X will be a connected infinite loop space. The $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is in one to one correspondence with the module of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty, \quad \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}. \end{array}$$

The submodule of primitive elements $\text{Prim } H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ corresponds to the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QH_*(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ QH_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty \end{array} \quad (8.1)$$

where $QH_*(X; ?)$ denotes the module of indecomposable elements, cf. [22, Lemma 1.3].

Let $E^r(X)$ denote the mod p Bockstein spectral sequence associated to the exact couple

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) & \xrightarrow{p} & H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \\ & \nwarrow \beta_1 & \nearrow \varrho_1 \\ & H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) & \end{array}$$

where β_1 is the primary Bockstein operator. More generally, if

$$\beta: H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty) \rightarrow H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

is the universal Bockstein then $\beta_n = \beta \circ i_n$ with i_n induced from $\mathbb{Z}/p^n \subset \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty$. Reduction mod p defines a surjection of algebras

$$j^r: H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^r) \rightarrow E^r(X).$$

Its kernel is given by

$$\text{Ker}(j^r) = \text{Im}(p_*) + \text{Im}(\varrho_r \circ \beta_{r-1}), \quad (8.2)$$

where ϱ_r is reduction to $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$ coefficients and $p_*: H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^{r-1}) \rightarrow H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^r)$ is induced from the inclusion of coefficients. There are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^r) & \xrightarrow{j^r} & E^r(X) \\ \downarrow \varrho_r \circ \beta_r & & \downarrow d^r \\ H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^r) & \xrightarrow{j^r} & E^r(X) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^{r-1}) & \xrightarrow{j^{r-1}} & E^{r-1}(X) \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \xi \\ H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^r) & \xrightarrow{j^r} & E^r(X) \end{array} \quad (8.3)$$

($\xi(x) = x^p$).

Suppose now that $X = Q(Y)$ as in Theorem 5.4. The Bockstein spectral sequence in this case is easy to describe, given the description of $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ in terms of homology operations applied to the subspace $H_*(Y; \mathbb{Z}/p) \subset H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$: $E^1(X)$ is a tensor product of two types of differential algebras, namely

- (i) $P\{y_I\} \otimes E\{x_I\}$; $d^1 y_I = x_I$ and $\deg y_I$ even,
- (ii) $E\{z_I\} \otimes P\{y_I\}$; $d^1 z_I = y_I$ and $\deg y_I$ even.

The first spectral sequence has $E^2 = P\{y_I^p\} \otimes E\{y_I^{p-1}x_I\}$, the second has $E^2 = \mathbb{Z}/p$, and $d^2(y_I^p) = y_I^{p-1}x_I$. More generally, in case (i)

$$E^{r+1} = P\{y_I^{p^r}\} \otimes E\{y_I^{p^r-1}x_I\}, \quad d^{r+1}(y_I^{p^r}) = y_I^{p^r-1}x_I. \quad (8.4)$$

There is a slight modification needed for $p = 2$, cf. [13, p. 49] or [22], which we leave for the reader. The Bockstein spectral sequence for $X = Q(Y)$ is from the E^2 -term on a tensor product of the spectral sequences (8.4) with $y_I = Q^I(y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (i_1, \varepsilon_1, i_2, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k, i_k), \quad i_{j-1} \leq pi_j - \varepsilon_j, \\ 2i_1 - \sum_{j=2}^k (2i_j(p-1) - \varepsilon_j) &> \deg y, \\ \deg Q^I(y) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

and with y running over a vector space basis for $\tilde{H}_*(Y; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. This description of $E^r(X)$, $X = Q(Y)$ follows easily from the formula for $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ given in sect. 7, see also [13, I, Thm. 4.3].

Proof of Theorem 5.4 Let $X = Q(Y)$ with Y connected and of finite type, and let γ be a primitive element of $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ which satisfies the three conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 5.4. The class γ corresponds to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QH_*(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\#} & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ QH_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\#} & \mathbb{Z}/p^\infty. \end{array}$$

We remember that the inclusion $i: Y \rightarrow Q(Y) = X$ induces isomorphism in rational homology. As condition (ii) asserts that $i^*(\gamma) = 0$,

$$\gamma_\# : QH_*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

is the zero homomorphism. It remains to show that the lower horizontal $\gamma_\#$ vanishes. Assume inductively that

$$\gamma_\#^{(r)} : H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^r) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r$$

is zero. This is true for $r = 1$ by condition (i). We must verify it for r replaced by $r + 1$. Consider

$$j^{r+1} : H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p^{r+1}) \rightarrow E^{r+1}(X).$$

Its kernel is given in (8.2) and the inductive assumption shows that $\gamma_\#^{(r+1)}$ also vanishes on $\text{Im}(\varrho_{r+1} \circ \beta_r)$, so $\gamma_\#^{(r+1)}$ factor over $E^{r+1}(X)$, in fact over $QE^{r+1}(X)$ since γ was assumed primitive. By (8.4), and (8.5)

$$QE^{r+1}(X) = \bigoplus_{I, y} \mathbb{Z}/p\langle y_I^{p^r} \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p\langle y_I^{p^r-1}x_I \rangle.$$

The diagrams in (8.3) show that

$$j^{r+1}(\mathcal{P}^{(p)}(y_I)) = y_I^{p^r}, \quad j^{r+1}(\varrho_{r+1}\beta_{r+1}\mathcal{P}^{(p)}(y_I)) = y_I^{p^r-1}x_I.$$

By condition (iii), $\gamma_\#(\mathcal{P}^{(p)}(y_I)) = 0$ and $\gamma_\#$ annihilates $\varrho_{r+1}\beta_{r+1}\mathcal{P}^{(p)}(y_I)$ since γ was an integral class. $\square$

References

- [1] J. F. Adams. On the groups $J(X)$. II. *Topology*, 3:137–171, 1965.
- [2] J. F. Adams. *Infinite loop spaces*, volume 90 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1978.
- [3] J. F. Adams. *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1995. Reprint of the 1974 original.
- [4] T. Akita. Nilpotency and triviality of mod p Morita-Mumford classes of mapping class groups of surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 165:1–22, 2002.
- [5] T. Akita and N. Kawazumi. Integral Riemann-Roch formulae for cyclic subgroups of mapping class groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 144(2):411–421, 2008.
- [6] M. F. Atiyah. *K-theory*. Advanced Book Classics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, second edition, 1989. Notes by D. W. Anderson.
- [7] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 87:484–530, 1968.
- [8] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. III. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 87:546–604, 1968.
- [9] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. IV. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 93:119–138, 1971.
- [10] J. C. Becker and D. H. Gottlieb. The transfer map and fiber bundles. *Topology*, 14:1–12, 1975.
- [11] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt. *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 347. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [12] A. Borel. Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 7:235–272 (1975), 1974.
- [13] F. R. Cohen, T. J. Lada, and J. P. May. *The homology of iterated loop spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 533. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [14] J. Ebert. *Characteristic classes of spin surface bundles: Applications of the Madsen-Weiss theory*. PhD thesis, Universität Bonn, 2006.
- [15] O. Forster. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*, volume 81 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981. Translated from the German by Bruce Gilligan.
- [16] S. Galatius. Mod p homology of the stable mapping class group. *Topology*, 43(5):1105–1132, 2004.
- [17] S. Galatius, I. Madsen, and U. Tillmann. Divisibility of the stable Miller-Morita-Mumford classes. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(4):759–779 (electronic), 2006.
- [18] S. Galatius, I. Madsen, U. Tillmann, and M. Weiss. The homotopy type of the cobordism category, 2008. To appear in *Acta Mathematica*.
- [19] K. Ireland and M. Rosen. *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, volume 84 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1990.
- [20] S. O. Kochman. Homology of the classical groups over the Dyer-Lashof algebra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 185:83–136, 1973.

- [21] H. Ligaard and I. Madsen. Homology operations in the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence. *Math. Z.*, 143:45–54, 1975.
- [22] I. Madsen. Higher torsion in SG and BSG . *Math. Z.*, 143:55–80, 1975.
- [23] I. Madsen and C. Schlichtkrull. The circle transfer and K -theory. In *Geometry and topology: Aarhus (1998)*, volume 258 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 307–328. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [24] I. Madsen, V. Snaith, and J. Tornehave. Infinite loop maps in geometric topology. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 81(3):399–430, 1977.
- [25] I. Madsen and M. Weiss. The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford’s conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(3):843–941, 2007.
- [26] J. P. May. *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271.
- [27] J. P. May. *E_∞ ring spaces and E_∞ ring spectra*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 577. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. With contributions by Frank Quinn, Nigel Ray, and Jørgen Tornehave.
- [28] S. Morita. Characteristic classes of surface bundles. *Invent. Math.*, 90(3):551–577, 1987.
- [29] D. Mumford. Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves. In *Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II*, volume 36 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 271–328. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [30] D. C. Ravenel. The Segal conjecture for cyclic groups and its consequences. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(2):415–446, 1984. With an appendix by Haynes R. Miller.
- [31] G. Segal. Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology*, 13:293–312, 1974.
- [32] S. Stolz. *Beziehungen zwischen Transfer und J -Homomorphismus*. Bonner Mathematische Schriften [Bonn Mathematical Publications], 125. Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1980. Dissertation, Universität Bonn, Bonn, 1979.
- [33] T. tom Dieck. The Artin-Hasse logarithm for λ -rings. In *Algebraic topology (Arcata, CA, 1986)*, volume 1370 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 409–415. Springer, Berlin, 1989.

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, COPENHAGEN, DENMARK
 E-MAIL ADDRESS: imadsen@math.ku.dk